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## 1．Introduction

－The Taiwanese people who are born in the 1940s or earlier are known as＂old Taiwanese，＂and many of them speak Mandarin with a heavy accent．
－Taiwanese accented Mandarin，hereafter，T－Mandarin．
－2．The phonotactic basics of Taiwanese and Mandarin．3．The Corpus．4．The patterns． 5. Summary．6．Theoretical Generalization．

## 2．Phonotactic Basics

（1）Taiwanese Phonetic Vocalic List

| Vowels |  |  | Glides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i i |  | u ũ | j W |
| e ẽ |  | （ $\gamma$ ） o õ |  |
| $\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ | $\partial$ | $\bigcirc$ ก |  |
|  | a |  |  |

（2）Mandarin Phonetic Vocalic List

| Vowels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{y}$ | 11 |  | u |
| e |  | $\gamma$ | 0 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 0 |  | 0 |
| $\mathrm{a}(æ)$ |  | a |  |

$\underset{j \quad \text { Glides }}{\text { G }}$
－Taiwanese and Mandarin have similar oral vowels and glides，except that the high front rounded vowel［y］and glide［ Y ］are absent in Taiwanese．
－In Taiwanese，most vowels have nasal counterparts，while nasal vowels in Mandarin occur before nasal codas．
－In certain sub－dialects of Mandarin，［æ］is an allophone of［a］．However，I have observed that ［æ］is not heard among the Mandarin speakers in Taiwan．
－There are four vocalic sequences in Mandarin that are not found in Taiwanese，including［je］， ［ei］，［wo］，and［ou］．
－Two apical vowels in Mandarin：［1］after dental obstruents and［l］after retroflex consonants； these vowels are produced with both the tongue body and the tongue tip．
－I propose that the apical vowels are［＋back］in nature，due to two reasons．First，the apical vowels and the back high vowel cannot occur after the alveo－palatals，［tc］，［tc ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ］and［ 6 ］，which are restricted before the front high vowels，［i］and［y］．Second，the production of the apical vowels involves the raise of the tongue back．
（3）Taiwanese Phonetic Consonantal List
$\mathrm{pp}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{tt}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{kk}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ？
b d／l
g

（4）Mandarin Phonetic Consonantal List
$\mathrm{pp}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{kk}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ？

|  | ts ts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | ts ts ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | t6 t6 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | s | S z | t6 |  |
|  | n |  |  |  |

- Phonemically, Mandarin has no voiced stop, though phonetically a voiceless stop might be voiced in an intervocalic position. However, this voicing pattern is not found among the Mandarin speakers in Taiwan.
- Taiwanese allows three voiced stops in the surface, [b], [d], and [g]. The closure in the production of [d] in Taiwanese is very slack such that the pronunciation of [d] is very close to [1], especially before a low vowel; these two sounds are usually considered the same segment in this dialect.
- Mandarin has two voiceless fricatives that are not found in Taiwanese, namely, the labiodental [ f ] and the velar [x]. However, the velar [x] is usually pronounced further back before back vowels and perceptually sounds like [h].
- There are five retroflex consonants in Mandarin, including four retroflex obstruents, [tş], [ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ], [s] and [z], and a retroflex liquid, [r].
- The voiced stops (D) in Taiwanese are onsets, in complementary distribution with the nasal onsets (N), [m], [n], and [ n$]$; namely, the output sanctions DV and NṼ, but not DṼ nor NV. On the other hand, Mandarin oral and nasal onsets indicate no such constraint.
- In Taiwanese, a nasal coda cannot occur after a nasal vowel, but in Mandarin a nasal coda nasalizes its preceding vowel.


## 3. The Corpus

- Recording: 8 hours, 1260 words collected.
- Taiwanese speakers: 2 females +2 males, aged 71~73, of primary education or below.
(5) Exclusive Patterns of Mandarin
a. The apical vowels, [1] and [1].
b. The high front rounded vowel, [y], and glide, [ 4 ].
c. The vocalic sequences, [ei], [je], [ou] and [wo].
d. The nasal syllables, NṼN and CṼN
e. The retroflex consonants, [ts], [ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ], [s], [z] and [r].
f. The voiceless labiodental fricative [f].
(6) Three kinds of pronunciations

| M-Taiwanese | $\frac{\text { Taiwanese }}{\text { sa }}$ | Mandarin <br> swa | Gloss <br> 'sand' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

- Most Taiwanese words have two readings, vernacular and literary. Literary pronunciation is not considered here, since the informants merely received primary education.
(7) Phonological processes examined in T-Mandarin
a. Will the apical vowels be interpreted as other vowels?
b. Will $[\mathrm{y}]$ and $[\mathrm{Y}]$ be derounded?
c. Will [ei], [je], [ou] and [wo] be monophthongized?
d. Will the vowels in $N \tilde{V} \mathrm{~N}$ and CV N be denasalized?
e. Will [ ts$],\left[\mathrm{ts}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}\right],[\mathrm{s}],[\mathrm{z}]$ and $[\mathrm{r}]$ be deretroflexed?
f. Will [f] be interpreted as other fricatives?
- The rounding of the apical vowels and the derounding of the interior vowel and glide will introduce their less marked, peripheral counterparts. The delabialization of [ f ] will obtain a less marked fricative. Monophthongization, vowel denasalization and deretroflexion are also
operations that show a decrease in markedness.


## 4. The Patterns

### 4.1 Rounding

- The corpus has 108 words that contain the apical vowels, [1] and [ 1 ], and all of them are rounded as [u].
(8) Rounding

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin | Taiwanese | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tsu | tst | tswa? | 'paper' |
| ts ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{u}$ | tss ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | tja? | 'eat' |
| su | sı | tsap | 'ten' |
| zu | zl | zit/lit | 'day' |
| tsu | tsı | tsu | 'fund' |
| ts ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{ts}^{\text {b }} 1$ | $t_{6}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 'sting' |
| su | S1 | ci/si | 'four' |

### 4.2 Derounding

- There are 126 words with $[y] /[\mathrm{y}]$ in the corpus, and 122 of them are derounded as $[\mathrm{i}] /[\mathrm{j}]$. That is, $96.8 \%$ of the data display the Taiwanese accent, while only $3.2 \%$ of them are pronounced without an accent.
(9) Derounding set 1: identical vowels/glides

| T-Mandarin |
| :--- |
| 6 i |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h} i}$ |
| ni |
| ji |
| li |
| tje |

$\frac{\text { Mandarin }}{6 y}$
t $6^{\text {h }} \mathrm{y}$
ny
чy/Py
ly
tje

Taiwanese
$\frac{\text { Gloss }}{\text { 'swear' }}$
'go'
'female'
'fish'
'donkey'
'peerage'
(10) Derounding set 2: different vowels/glides

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin |
| :---: | :---: |
| ji | чу/Ру |
| t6 ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ | t6 ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{y}$ |
| li | ly |
| ¢je | ¢je |
| tjen | tjẽn |
| nje | nчe |

Taiwanese
gu $^{\text {hu }}$
lut
hak
kwan
gjot

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gloss } \\
& \text { 'encounter' } \\
& \text { 'district' } \\
& \text { 'rate' } \\
& \text { 'learning' } \\
& \text { 'donate' } \\
& \text { 'harsh' }
\end{aligned}
$$

- One question thus arises as to whether the derounding in T-Mandarin simply results from the cognate correlation instead of vowel markedness. The answer can be pursued from (10), where
the vowels in T-Mandarin are not the same as those of the Taiwanese pronunciations, but the Mandarin vowels are derounded in spite of everything. This fact shows that the accent is not as simple as cognate correlation, but rather, it occurs by replacing a marked form with an unmarked one.


### 4.3 Monophthongization

- In the corpus, 190 out of 199 [ei] sequences are pronounced as [e], found in $95.4 \%$ of the data, and 134 out of 166 [je] sequences are pronounced as the monophthong, found in $80.7 \%$ of the data; similarly, 203 out of 210 [ou] sequences are pronounced as [ o ], found in $96.6 \%$ of the data, and 160 out of 189 [wo] sequences are pronounced as the monophthong, found in $84.6 \%$ of the data.
(11) Monophthongization

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin | Taiwanese | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {he }}$ | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ ei | pwe | 'to accompany' |
| tse | tcje | tcjo? | 'to borrow' |
| ko | kwo | kok | 'nation' |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hou }}$ | $t^{\text {h }}$ au | 'to steal' |

### 4.4 Denasalization

- There are 78 syllables in the corpus that consist of CṼN structures, but all of them are pronounced as CVN. There are 93 syllables that consist of NṼN structures: 89 of them are pronounced as CVN, constituting $95.7 \%$, and only 4 of them are pronounced as NV N , constituting 4.3\%.
(12) Denasalization

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin | Taiwanese | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. lon | lõy | ljən | 'dragon' |
| sin | ¢in | sin | 'new' |
| b. ben | mjẽn | mi $\square$ | 'noodle' |
| ljay | njãy | njũ | 'mother' |

### 4.5 Deretroflex

- The corpus has 246 words that contain retroflex onsets, and 230 of them are deretroflexed, found in $93.4 \%$ of the data. There are 42 words that have the retroflex coda, and all of them undergo coda drop.
(13) Deretroflex

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin | Taiwanese | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tsu | tşu | ti | 'pig' |
| ts ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ | ts ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ | te | 'tea' |
| sa | sa | swa | 'sand' |
| zu, lu | zu | zip/lip | 'enter' |

Pə Pər zi/li 'son’

### 4.6 Reconfiguration

- In the corpus, 50 syllables have [f] onsets; 32 of them are pronounced as [hw], constituting 64\%, and 18 of them are pronounced as [h], constituting $36 \%$.
(14) Set 1: [f] recofigured as [hw]

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin | Taiwanese | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hwe | fei | pwi | 'fat' |
| hway | fãy | pay | 'release’ |
| hwa | fa | hwat | 'punish' |

(15) Set 2: [f] delabialized as [h]

| T-Mandarin | Mandarin | Taiwanese | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ho | fwo | hut | 'Buddha' |
| ho | fou | ho $\square$ | 'not' |
| hon | fuy | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {ha }}$ a | 'seam' |

## 5. Summary

(16) Vocalic Markedness
a. Interior vowels are marked, and tend to be replaced by peripheral vowels in the accent; $[y]$ and $[\mathrm{Y}]$ are respectively derounded as [i] and [j] in T-Mandarin.
b. Apical vowels are marked, and tend to be interpreted as non-apical in the accent; [1] and [ l ] are rounded as [ u ] in T-Mandarin.
c. Diphthongs (and the glide-vowel sequences) are marked, and tend to be replaced by monophthongs in the accent; in T-Mandarin, [ei] and [je] are simplified as [e], and [ou] and [wo] as [o].
d. Nasal vowels are marked, and tend to be replaced by oral vowels in the accent; the nasal vowels are denasalized before nasal codas in T-Mandarin.
(17) Consonantal Markedness
a. Retroflexed consonants are marked, and tend to be replaced by nonretroflexed consonants or omitted in the accent; the onsets, [ ts ], [ $\mathrm{ts}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ], [s s ] and [ z ] are deretroflexed as [ts], [ts $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{h}}\right],[\mathrm{s}]$ and [z] in T-Mandarin, and the coda, [r], is deleted.
b. The combination of labiality and voiceless features is marked, and the features tend to be split or delabialed in the accent; [f] is reconfigured as [hw] or delabialized as [h] in T-Mandarin.
c. The feature [dorsal] is more marked than [pharyngeal], and the former tends to be replaced by the latter in the accent; $[\mathrm{x}]$ is develarized as $[\mathrm{h}]$ in T-Mandarin.

## 6. Theoretical Generalization <br> (18) A Marking-based Model of Accent Formation


－In this schema，the target language（L2）can be a local dialect or a foreign language．The speaker＇s language（L1）is the native language of the speaker．The accented target language （accented L2）is the default language used by the speaker．The vertical dotted arrow represents the path of universal marking，and the right－headed hollow arrow indicates the imposition of the L1 elements on the accented L2．The horizontal bidirectional hollow arrow denotes the cognate correlation between L1 and L2．The elbow type arrow represents these cognate effects on the accented L2．
－Phonological influences in language contact inevitably involve systematic adjustments of segments．Such adjustments often result in the emergence of the universally unmarked．
－The output of an accent is by and large the intersection between the target language（L2）and the speaker＇s language（L1）．It is the omission of marked forms that causes the accents．
－The marked forms of L2 that are not present in L1 will be replaced by the unmarked forms of L1．Conversely，if the exclusive forms of L2 are unmarked，they will not be replaced by the marked forms of L1，but will be retained in the accented L2．
－Taiwanese and Mandarin share a substantial amount of cognates．Some Mandarin words containing［f］correspond to those containing［h］in Taiwanese．However，this cognate correspondence observes the marking relation of segments in accent formation．
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